

AMERICAN NEPHROLOGY NURSES' ASSOCIATION

## ANNA'S 44<sup>TH</sup> NATIONAL SYMPOSIUM April 21-24, 2013 Rio All-Suite Hotel, Las Vegas, Nevada

## **Updated Safety Fesults from EXIST-2**

John J Bissler MD,1 J Chris Kingswood FRCP,2 Bernard Zonnenberg MD,3 Michael Frost,4 Elzbieta Radzikowska MD,5 Matthias Sauter MD,6 Norio Nonomura,7 Du Lam MD,8 Sara Miao eMBA,8 Helene Cauwel MSc,9 Petrus de Vries PhD,10, Klemens Budde,11

1Cincinnati Children's Hosp Med Ctr, Cincinnati, OH; 2Royal Sussex County Hosp, Brighton, UK; 3Universitair Medisch Centrum, Utrecht, Netherlands; 4Minnesota Epilepsy Grp, St. Paul, MN; 5Ntl Tuberculosis & Lung Dis Res Inst, Warsaw, Poland; 6Klinikum der Universität München, Munich, Germany; 7Osaka Univ Hosp, Osaka, Japan;8Novartis, Florham Park, NJ; 9Novartis, Basel, Switzerland; 10Univ of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa; 11Charite-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany

EXIST-2 (NCT00790400), a randomized, double-blind, phase 3 trial, assessed the efficacy and safety of everolimus, an oral mTOR inhibitor, for treating renal angiomyolipoma (AML) in patients with tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC) or sporadic lymphangioleiomyomatosis. Patients were randomized 2:1 to receive everolimus 10 mg daily (n=79) or placebo (n=39). Everolimus was superior to placebo for the primary endpoint, renal AML response rate (P<.0001) with a safety profile consistent with that previously reported in TSC patients. Presented here is a 90-day safety update for patients receiving  $\geq 1$  dose of double-blind study drug with a valid post-baseline assessment. As of Oct 14, 2011, median treatment duration was 48 and 45 weeks for everolimus and placebo arms, respectively. Discontinuations in the double-blind period were the same in the everolimus arm, but had increased by 4 patients in the placebo arm since the initial analysis (n=3 disease progression, n=1 withdrawal of consent). The majority of adverse events (AEs) continued to be grade 1 or 2; however, the incidence of serious AEs was slightly higher than initially reported, particularly in the placebo arm (everolimus 20%, placebo 23%). In the updated analysis, 3 additional everolimus patients required dose interruption or reduction due to AEs; dose reduction/interruption remained more common in the everolimus arm (52% vs 21%). Overall, the 90-day safety update from EXIST-2 has not revealed any additional concerns. No everolimus patients withdrew for any reason, whereas 3 more placebo patients withdrew due to disease progression.

## Abstract selected for presentation at ANNA's 44th National Symposium, Las Vegas, NV, 2013